

HOMOTOPY SECTIONS AND RATIONAL POINTS ON ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES

AMBRUS PÁL

March 14, 2010.

ABSTRACT. We study a generalisation of the anabelian section conjecture of Grothendieck by substituting the arithmetic fundamental group with the étale homotopy type. We show that the map associating homotopy sections to rational points factors through R -equivalence for projective varieties defined over fields of characteristic zero. We prove a homotopy version of the section conjecture for algebraic varieties defined over the real and complex number fields. We show that a natural homotopy version of the section and Shafarevich-Tate conjectures over p -adic and number fields are equivalent to their well-established analogues in the special case of curves and abelian varieties. We also prove this conjecture for Châtelet surfaces and varieties over number fields for which the descent obstruction on torsors under linear algebraic groups explains the failure of the Hasse principle.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}$ denote the category of pro-objects of the usual homotopy category. For every object S of $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}$ let $S^{\natural}$ denote the object of $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}$ defined on page 35 of [1]. For every locally noetherian scheme X let X_{et} denote the étale homotopy type of X as defined on page 114 of [1]. Let K be a field of characteristic zero. Because the assignments $X \mapsto X_{et}$ and $S \mapsto S^{\natural}$ are functors from the category of locally noetherian schemes into $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}$ and from $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}$ into itself, respectively, and $\text{Spec}(K)_{et} = \text{Spec}(K)_{et}^{\natural}$, for every variety X defined over K every K -valued point $x \in X(K)$ induces a section

$$\iota_X^{\natural}(x) : \text{Spec}(K)_{et} \longrightarrow X_{et}^{\natural}$$

of the map $b_X^{\natural} : X_{et}^{\natural} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)_{et}$ induced by the structural morphism $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$. Let $[\text{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^{\natural}]$ denote the homotopy classes of such sections. The aim of this paper is to study that under which conditions the map:

$$\iota_X^{\natural} : X(K) \rightarrow [\text{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^{\natural}]$$

is injective or surjective. Our first basic result shows that one cannot expect that the map $\iota_X^{\natural}$ is injective in general for any field K as above. Recall that two points $x, y \in X(K)$ are called directly R -equivalent if there is a rational map $f : \mathbb{P}_K^1 \dashrightarrow X$ of K -varieties such that $f(0) = x$ and $f(\infty) = y$. The R -equivalence on $X(K)$ is the equivalence relation generated by direct R -equivalence. Let $X(K)/R$ denote the set of R -equivalence classes on $X(K)$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14F35, 14G05.

Theorem 1.1. *For every smooth projective variety X over K the map*

$$\iota_X^{\natural} : X(K) \rightarrow [\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^{\natural}]$$

factors through R -equivalence.

The validity of a such a claim was already suggested by Toën in [27], but his original claim is not true as stated in positive characteristic. (For a counterexample see Remark 3.4.) Note that it is also important to assume that X is projective in the claim above. In fact it is not difficult to see that the map $\iota_X^{\natural}$ is injective when X is a torus and K is either a p -adic field or a number field in spite of the rational connectivity of X in this case. When X is projective let

$$\iota_{X,R}^{\natural} : X(K)/R \longrightarrow [\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^{\natural}]$$

denote the map which sends every R -equivalence class C to the class $\iota_X^{\natural}(y)$ where $y \in C$ is arbitrary.

Now assume that K is either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. In this case the set $X(K)$ has the structure of a topological space induced by the topology of K . Let $\pi_0(X(K))$ denote the set of connected components of $X(K)$ and let $f_X : X(K) \rightarrow \pi_0(X(K))$ be the function mapping every point to the connected component containing it. It is easy to prove that the map $\iota_X^{\natural}$ is constant on the connected components of $X(K)$, that is $\iota_X^{\natural}$ is the composition of f_X and a unique map:

$$\iota_{X,0}^{\natural} : \pi_0(X(K)) \rightarrow [\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^{\natural}].$$

Our first main result is the following

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that K is either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ and let X be a variety over K . Also assume that X is smooth, projective and $X(\mathbb{C})$ is nilpotent in the sense of Bousfield-Kan when $K = \mathbb{R}$. Then $\iota_{X,0}^{\natural}$ is a bijection.*

The theorem above is the consequence of some fundamental results of Artin and Mazur when K is the field of complex numbers and some deep theorems of Carlsson and Cox when K is the field of real numbers. Recall that $X(\mathbb{C})$ is nilpotent for example when $X(\mathbb{C})$ is simply connected or an abelian variety. Hence the result applies to a large class of cases when $K = \mathbb{R}$. In particular we get that $[\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{R})_{et}, (\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^n)_{et}^{\natural}]$ consists of one element. Using the already proven real version of Grothendieck's section conjecture for hyperbolic curves we are able to show another result with the same conclusion but under different assumptions:

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that $K = \mathbb{R}$ and X is a smooth projective geometrically irreducible curve. Then $\iota_{X,0}^{\natural}$ is a bijection.*

Next let us look at the case when K is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Claim (b) below is particularly interesting because it provides a large class of smooth, projective varieties X over p -adic fields for which the map $\iota_X^{\natural}$ is not surjective.

Theorem 1.4. *Let K be as above.*

- (a) *For every smooth, geometrically connected projective curve X of genus at least two over K the map $\iota_X^{\natural}$ is injective, and it is surjective if and only if the local version of Grothendieck's section conjecture holds for X .*
- (b) *For every abelian variety X the map $\iota_X^{\natural}$ is injective, and it is surjective if and only if X is zero dimensional.*

Finally assume that K is a number field and let $|K|$ denote the set of places of K . For every $v \in |K|$ let K_v denote the completion of K with respect to v . For every variety X defined over K and for every $v \in |K|$ let X_v denote the base change of X to $\text{Spec}(K_v)$. Moreover let $i_v : X(K)/R \rightarrow X_v(K_v)/R$ be the map induced by the inclusion $X(K) \hookrightarrow X(K_v) = X_v(K_v)$. For every $v \in |K|$ fix an embedding $j_v : \overline{K} \rightarrow \overline{K}_x$ of K -extensions; this map furnishes a map $j_v^* : \text{Spec}(K_v)_{et} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)_{et}$ of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces. Note that there is a cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X_v)_{et}^{\natural} & \xrightarrow{r_v} & X_{et}^{\natural} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec}(K_v)_{et} & \xrightarrow{j_v^*} & \text{Spec}(K)_{et} \end{array}$$

in $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}$ where the upper horizontal map is supplied by base change. Let

$$r_{v*} : [\text{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^{\natural}] \longrightarrow [\text{Spec}(K_v)_{et}, (X_v)_{et}^{\natural}]$$

denote the map furnished by this diagram. We are interested in the following natural generalisation of the Shafarevich-Tate conjecture:

Homotopy Section Principle (HSP). *Assume that X is smooth, projective and $X(K)/R$ is finite. Then the diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(K)/R & \xrightarrow{\iota_{X,R}^{\natural}} & [\text{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^{\natural}] \\ \prod_{v \in |K|} i_v \downarrow & & \prod_{v \in |K|} r_{v*} \downarrow \\ \prod_{v \in |K|} X_v(K_v)/R & \xrightarrow{\prod_{v \in |K|} \iota_{X_v,R}^{\natural}} & \prod_{v \in |K|} [\text{Spec}(K_v)_{et}, (X_v)_{et}^{\natural}] \end{array}$$

is cartesian.

The claim above is obviously true if X does not have local points everywhere, hence HSP should be considered as a new form of the local-global principle. Probably it is too optimistic to expect that HSP holds in general (for a class of suggestive examples see Theorem 1.11 below). However we will show that HSP is true in a multitude of cases. Our first result includes the analogue of our theorem over p -adic fields.

Theorem 1.5. *Let K be as above.*

- (a) *Let X be a smooth, geometrically connected projective curve X of genus at least two over K . Then HSP holds for X if and only if the weak form of Grothendieck's section conjecture holds for X .*
- (b) *Let X be an abelian variety over K such that $X(K)$ is finite. Then HSP holds for X if and only if the Shafarevich-Tate conjecture holds for X .*
- (c) *HSP holds for $\mathbb{P}_K^n$.*

Note that $X(K)$ is finite in part (a) by the Mordell conjecture, proved by Faltings (see [10]), while $X(K)$ is finite and the Shafarevich-Tate conjecture holds for every elliptic curve X defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $L(X, 1) \neq 0$ by the modularity theorem of [4] and the classical work of Gross-Zagier and Kolyvagin (see [16]). By studying the analogue of the homotopy-theoretical version of the Brauer-Manin and finite étale descent obstructions and using some recent work of Demarche and Skorobogatov we will show the following:

Theorem 1.6. *Let X be a smooth projective variety over K such that the descent obstruction on torsors under linear algebraic groups explains the failure of the Hasse principle for X , or equivalently, the Brauer-Manin obstruction applied to étale covers explains the failure of the Hasse principle for X . Then HSP holds for X .*

For examples of varieties satisfying the condition of the theorem see [17], [6], [7] and [23]. We can also study the injectivity of $\iota_{X,R}^{\natural}$ using Manin's theory. For a smooth algebraic variety X over any field K of characteristic zero let $\mathrm{Br}(X) = H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ denote the cohomological Brauer group of X . There is a canonical pairing

$$(\cdot, \cdot) : X(K) \times \mathrm{Br}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(K)$$

given by the rule $(x, b) = x^*(b)$, called the Manin pairing. Recall that the Brauer equivalence $\sim$ on $X(K)$ is defined as follows: we have $x \sim y$ if $(x, b) = (y, b)$ for all $b \in \mathrm{Br}(X)$. We denote the set of Brauer equivalence classes in $X(K)$ by $X(K)/B$. It is well-known (see the proof of part (i) of Theorem 43.1 of [17] on page 234) that Brauer equivalence is coarser than R -equivalence, that is, there is a natural map $\iota_{X,B} : X(K)/R \rightarrow X(K)/B$ which assigns to every R -equivalence class C the B -equivalence class of any $x \in C$. We will say that $x, y \in X(K)$ are H -equivalent if $\iota_X^{\natural}(x) = \iota_X^{\natural}(y)$. Then we have the following

Theorem 1.7. *Let X be a irreducible, smooth, projective variety. Then Brauer equivalence is coarser than H -equivalence. In particular $\iota_{X,R}^{\natural}$ is injective if the map $\iota_{X,B}$ is.*

Examples of varieties where Brauer equivalence coincides with R -equivalence include Châtelet surfaces over p -adic fields (this follows from part (a) of Theorem 8.5 of [7] on page 86). The result above has a converse when K is a p -adic field and X is simply connected:

Theorem 1.8. *Let K be a p -adic field and let X be a irreducible, smooth, projective surface whose base change to the algebraic closure of K has trivial étale fundamental group. Then Brauer equivalence and H -equivalence coincide on $X(K)$.*

Since from the map $\iota_X^{\natural}$ one may recover the étale Abel-Jacobi map (see Notation 3.2 below) the condition on the étale fundamental group of X is natural. The restriction on the base field is also needed since there are smooth, geometrically simply connected projective surfaces defined over number fields where R -equivalence is strictly finer than Brauer equivalence, namely certain Châtelet surfaces (see Remark 8.10.2 of [7] on page 91), but H -equivalence coincide with R -equivalence by the theorem below. In fact we will show that HSP holds for this class:

Theorem 1.9. *Let X be a Châtelet surface defined over a number field K . Then $X(K)/R$ is finite and HSP holds for X .*

The result above is particularly interesting because it covers a whole class of varieties X for which HSP holds, but which are not homogeneous spaces, moreover every R -equivalence class of $X(K)$ is Zariski dense (see part (b) of Theorem 8.5 of [7] on page 86) and, by Theorem 8.13 of [7] on page 95, the set $X(K)/R$ could be arbitrarily large for X defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. We will prove a weaker result for general

geometrically rational surfaces. For every variety X defined over a number field K we define the set $\text{Sel}^{\natural}(X)$ of Selmer homotopy sections of X to be

$$\text{Sel}^{\natural}(X) = \left(\prod_{v \in |K|} r_{v*} \right)^{-1} \left(\prod_{v \in |K|} \iota_{X_v}^{\natural}(X_v(K_v)) \right) \subseteq [\text{Spec}(K)_{\text{et}}, X_{\text{et}}^{\natural}].$$

Theorem 1.10. *Let X be a smooth, projective, geometrically rational surface defined over a number field K . Then $\text{Sel}^{\natural}(X)$ is finite.*

On the other hand the following result shows that there is an important and large class of projective varieties over number fields for which the information contained by the map $\iota_X^{\natural}$ is purely local, strongly suggesting that for this class HSP might not hold in general:

Theorem 1.11. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_K^{n+1}$ be a smooth hypersurface of dimension $n \geq 3$ over a number field K . Then*

(i) *the map:*

$$\prod_{\substack{v \in |K| \\ v \text{ is real}}} r_{v*} : \text{Sel}^{\natural}(X) \longrightarrow \prod_{\substack{v \in |K| \\ v \text{ is real}}} [\text{Spec}(K_v)_{\text{et}}, (X_v)_{\text{et}}^{\natural}]$$

is injective,

(ii) *for every $x, y \in X(K)$ we have:*

$$\iota_X^{\natural}(x) = \iota_X^{\natural}(y) \Leftrightarrow f_{X_v}(x) = f_{X_v}(y) \quad (\text{for every real } v \in |K|).$$

Contents 1.12. In the next chapter we first review the fundamental theorems of Artin-Mazur and Cox-Quick on the étale homotopy type of varieties then we apply them to compute the homotopy type of certain varieties, including hyperbolic curves and abelian varieties. We also prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. In the third chapter we study the analogues of the Manin invariant and finite étale descent for homotopy sections and with the help of these tools and some recent results of Demarche and Skorobogatov prove Theorems 1.6 and 1.7. We also study the map induced on fundamental groups by homotopy sections and with the help of the results of the previous chapter we prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 as well as the parts of Theorem 1.5 which concern hyperbolic curves and abelian varieties. In the fourth chapter we present an obstruction theory for homotopy sections along the lines suggested by Toën, and with the help of this theory show the part of Theorem 1.5 which concerns projective spaces and Theorem 1.11. In the final two chapters we prove Theorems 1.8, 1.9 and 1.10 as further applications of this obstruction theory, also using local and global duality and some classical results of Bloch and Colliot-Thélène–Sansuc–Swinnerton-Dyer.

Acknowledgement 1.13. Some of the ideas elaborated in this paper already appeared in Bertrand Toën’s paper [27] who, according to my best knowledge, was first to suggest the study of rational points via maps like $\iota_X^{\natural}$. I also wish to thank Alexei Skorobogatov, Akio Tamagawa, David Harari and Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène either for useful discussions or for useful correspondence concerning the contents of this paper. The author was partially supported by the EPSRC grant P19164.

2. THE HOMOTOPY TYPE OF ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES

Notation 2.1. For every pro-group G let EG denote a contractible pro-CW-complex equipped with a free G -action and let BG denote the quotient of EG by the action of G in $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}$. For every G as above let $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}_G$ denote the category whose objects are pairs (S, b) where S is an object of $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}$ and b is a morphism $S \rightarrow BG$, and whose morphisms between two objects (R, a) and (S, b) are morphisms $m : R \rightarrow S$ in $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}$ such that $b \circ m = a$. For every object (S, b) of $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}_G$ let $[BG, S]$ denote the set of morphisms $s : BG \rightarrow S$ which are sections of b . Clearly the assignment $(S, b) \mapsto [BG, S]$ is functorial; for every morphism $m : R \rightarrow S$ in $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}_G$ let $m_* : [BG, R] \rightarrow [BG, S]$ denote the induced map.

Notation 2.2. For every object S of $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}$ and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\text{cosk}_n(S)$ denote its n -th coskeleton, let $S^\natural$ denote the object $\{\text{cosk}_n(S)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}$ as in page 35 of [1], and let $t_S : S \rightarrow S^\natural$ be the projective limit of the truncation maps into coskeletons. The assignment $S \mapsto S^\natural$ is functorial; for every morphism $m : R \rightarrow S$ in $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}$ let $m^\natural : R^\natural \rightarrow S^\natural$ denote the induced map. Recall that a morphism $m : R \rightarrow S$ in $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}$ is called a weak homotopy equivalence if $m^\natural$ is an isomorphism in $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}$. Two facts which we will use about weak homotopy equivalences without further notice are that for every S as above $t_S : S \rightarrow S^\natural$ is a weak homotopy equivalence and weak homotopy equivalences induce isomorphisms on homotopy, homology and twisted coefficient cohomology groups. Also note that for every pro-group G and for every object (S, b) of $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}_G$ the pair $(S^\natural, b^\natural)$ can be considered as an object of $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}_G$ since $BG^\natural = \text{cosk}_1(BG) = BG$. Moreover $t_S : S \rightarrow S^\natural$ is a morphism of $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}_G$ from the object (S, b) to the object $(S^\natural, b^\natural)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that G is a finite group. Then the following holds:*

- (i) *for every object (S, b) of $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}_G$ the map*

$$(t_S)_* : [BG, S] \longrightarrow [BG, S^\natural]$$

induced by the natural map $X \rightarrow X^\natural$ is a bijection,

- (ii) *for every morphism $m : R \rightarrow S$ of $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}_G$ which is a weak homotopy equivalence in $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}$ the induced map:*

$$m_* : [BG, R] \longrightarrow [BG, S]$$

is a bijection.

Proof. Clearly claim (ii) is an immediate consequence of claim (i). When we prove the latter we may assume that S is actually an object of $\mathcal{H}$ by taking the projective limit. In this case the claim follows from classical obstruction theory since BG is also an object of $\mathcal{H}$ by our assumption. $\square$

Notation 2.4. For every object S of $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}$ let $S^\wedge$ denote the profinite completion of S in the sense of Artin-Mazur. For every progroup G and for every S as above equipped with an action of G let $S \times_G EG$ denote the quotient of $S \times EG$ by the diagonal action of G . Fix an algebraic closure $\overline{K}$ of K . Note that $B\text{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\text{Spec}(K)_{et}$. Hence for every variety X defined over K we may consider (X_{et}, b_X) an object of $\text{pro-}\mathcal{H}_{\text{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)}$ where the map $b_X : X_{et} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)_{et}$ is induced by the structural morphism $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$. Also note that

this notation is compatible with that of the introduction and with Notation 2.1. For every X as above every K -valued point $x \in X(K)$ induces an element $\iota_X(x) \in [\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}]$. The map $\iota_X^\natural$ is the composition of $\iota_X : X(K) \rightarrow [\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}]$ and $(t_{X_{et}})_* : [\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}] \rightarrow [\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^\natural]$. For every X as above let $\overline{X}$ denote the base change of X to $\mathrm{Spec}(\overline{K})$. Note that $\overline{X}_{et}$ is equipped with an action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)$. The following theorem is a classical result of Cox when $K = \mathbb{R}$ (see [8]) and it is due to Quick in general.

Theorem 2.5. *For every variety X over K there is a canonical weak homotopy equivalence in $\mathrm{pro}\text{-}\mathcal{H}$:*

$$\zeta_X : \overline{X}_{et}^\wedge \times_{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)} E\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K) \longrightarrow X_{et}^\wedge$$

respecting the fibrations over $B\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K) \cong \mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}$.

Proof. This is a special case of Proposition 2.27 of [28] on page 601. $\square$

The basic result about the homotopy type of complex algebraic varieties is the following classical theorem of Artin and Mazur:

Theorem 2.6. *Let X be an algebraic variety defined over $\mathbb{C}$. Then there is a canonical weak homotopy equivalence in $\mathrm{pro}\text{-}\mathcal{H}$:*

$$\eta_X : X(\mathbb{C})^\wedge \longrightarrow X_{et}^\wedge$$

where we equip $X(\mathbb{C})$ with its classical topology.

Proof. This is Theorem 12.9 of [1] on page 142. $\square$

For every object S of $\mathrm{pro}\text{-}\mathcal{H}$ let $\pi_0(S)$ denote the pro-set of connected components of S and let $\pi_n(S)$ denote the n -th homotopy group of S when $n \geq 2$ and S is connected. We will also denote by $\pi_1(S)$ the isomorphism class of the fundamental group of S without specifying a base point when S is connected and this does not cause confusion. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every variety X defined over some field K let $\pi_n(X)$ denote $\pi_n(X_{et}) = \pi_n(X_{et}^\natural)$. With the aid of the result above it is easy to show the following

Proposition 2.7. *For every variety X over $\mathbb{C}$ the map ι_X is the composition of $f_X : X(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \pi_0(X(\mathbb{C}))$ and a unique map:*

$$\iota_{X,0}^\natural : \pi_0(X(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow [\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})_{et}, X_{et}^\natural]$$

which is also a bijection.

Proof. Because $\mathbb{C}$ is algebraically closed $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})_{et}$ is contractible. Therefore there is a natural identification:

$$[\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})_{et}, X_{et}^\natural] \cong \pi_0(X_{et}^\natural).$$

Because the maps in Theorem 2.6 are natural there is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_X} & \pi_0(X_{et}^\natural) \\ f_X \downarrow & & \uparrow \pi_0(\eta_X) \\ \pi_0(X(\mathbb{C})) & \xrightarrow{c_X} & \pi_0(X(\mathbb{C})^\wedge) \end{array}$$

where the lower horizontal map is induced by completion. Because the set $\pi_0(X(\mathbb{C}))$ is finite the map c_X is a bijection. Since $\pi_0(\eta_X)$ is also bijective by Theorem 2.6 the claim is now clear. $\square$

Definition 2.8. For every group Γ let $\widehat{\Gamma}$ be its profinite completion. Following Serre (see page 13 of [22]) we will say that a Γ as above is good if the homomorphism of cohomology groups $H^n(\widehat{\Gamma}, M) \rightarrow H^n(\Gamma, M)$ induced by the natural homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \widehat{\Gamma}$ is an isomorphism for every finite Γ -module M .

Another important consequence of Theorem 2.6 is the following claim.

Proposition 2.9. *Let X be a smooth variety over $\mathbb{C}$ such that $X(\mathbb{C})$ has the homotopy type of the Eilenberg-MacLane space $B\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}))$ and the group $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}))$ is good. Then X_{et} is weakly homotopy equivalent to $B\pi_1(X)$.*

Proof. By Corollary 6.6 of [1] on page 72 the profinite completion $(B\Gamma)^\wedge$ of $B\Gamma$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to $B\widehat{\Gamma}$ if and only if Γ is a good group. Therefore $X_{et}^\wedge$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to $B\pi_1(\widehat{X(\mathbb{C})})$ by Theorem 2.6. Because we assumed that X is smooth X_{et} is homotopy equivalent to its profinite completion $X_{et}^\wedge$ by Theorem 11.1 of [1] on page 124. On the other hand the profinite completion of $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}))$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(X)$ by the Grauert-Remmert theorem. The claim is now clear. $\square$

Remark 2.10. It is important to note that the condition requiring the fundamental group to be good is not only sufficient, but also necessary. In particular there are algebraic varieties X over $\mathbb{C}$ such that $X(\mathbb{C})$ has the homotopy type of the Eilenberg-MacLane space $B\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}))$, but the group $\pi_1(X(\mathbb{C}))$ is not good, therefore X_{et} is not an Eilenberg-MacLane space. For an important class of examples see Lemma 3.16 of [20] on page 146.

Corollary 2.11. *Let X be a smooth, geometrically connected curve over K which is not a projective curve of genus zero. Then X_{et} is weakly homotopy equivalent to $B\pi_1(X)$.*

Proof. Recall that a smooth, geometrically connected curve Y defined over a field has type (g, d) if g is the genus of the smooth projective completion Y^c of Y and d is the number of geometric points in the complement of Y in Y^c . Let $\mathcal{M}_{g,d}$ denote the moduli stack of smooth, geometrically connected curves of type (g, d) over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q})$ and let $\mathcal{C}_{g,d} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g,d}$ be the universal family. It is known that $\mathcal{M}_{g,d}$ is geometrically connected hence for every algebraically closed field L of characteristic zero the étale homotopy type $(C_x)_{et}$ of the fibre C_x of $\mathcal{C}_{g,d} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g,d}$ over the L -valued point x is independent of the choice of x by Corollary 12.13 of [1] on page 144 and Theorem 11.1 of [1] on page 124. Because $\mathcal{M}_{g,d}$ has a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -valued point we may conclude that the étale homotopy type $(C_x)_{et}$ is also independent of L . In the special case when $L = \mathbb{C}$ and $(g, d) \neq (0, 0)$ the topological space $C_x(\mathbb{C})$ has the homotopy type of the Eilenberg-MacLane space $B\pi_1(C_x(\mathbb{C}))$. The topological fundamental group of a smooth, connected complex curve is good (this fact follows at once from part (a) of problem 1 of [22] on page 13) so we get from Proposition 2.8 that $\overline{X}_{et}$ is homotopy equivalent to $B\pi_1(\overline{X})$. The claim now follows from Theorem 2.5 and from Theorem 11.1 of [1] on page 124. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It will be sufficient to show that for every two points $x, y \in X(K)$ which are directly R -equivalent we have $\iota_X^\natural(x) = \iota_X^\natural(y)$. By the valuative criterion of properness there is a morphism $f : \mathbb{A}_K^1 \rightarrow X$ of K -varieties such that $f(0) = x$ and $f(1) = y$. Therefore both $\iota_X^\natural(x)$ and $\iota_X^\natural(y)$ lie in the image of the map $f_* : [\text{Spec}(K)_{et}, (\mathbb{A}_K^1)_{et}^\natural] \rightarrow [\text{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^\natural]$. By Corollary 2.11 there is a

homotopy equivalence $(\mathbb{A}_K^1)_{et}^\natural \cong B\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)$. Therefore the set $[\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}, (\mathbb{A}_K^1)_{et}^\natural]$ consists of one element. The claim is now clear. $\square$

Corollary 2.12. *Let X be an abelian variety over K . Then X_{et} is weakly homotopy equivalent to $B\pi_1(X)$.*

Proof. The proof of this claim is essentially the same as the proof of Corollary 2.11; we only include it for the reader's convenience. Let $\mathcal{H}_d$ denote the moduli stack of principally polarised abelian varieties of dimension d over $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Q})$ and let $\mathcal{A}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_d$ be the universal family. It is known that $\mathcal{H}_d$ is geometrically connected hence for every algebraically closed field L of characteristic zero the étale homotopy type $(A_x)_{et}$ of the fibre A_x of $\mathcal{A}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_d$ over the L -valued point x is independent of the choice of x by Corollary 12.13 of [1] on page 144 and Theorem 11.1 of [1] on page 124. Because $\mathcal{H}_d$ has a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -valued point we may conclude that the étale homotopy type $(A_x)_{et}$ is also independent of L . In the special case when $L = \mathbb{C}$ the topological space $A_x(\mathbb{C})$ has the homotopy type of the Eilenberg-MacLane space $B\pi_1(A_x(\mathbb{C}))$. Because finitely generated free abelian groups are good (see part (d) of problem 2 of [22] on page 14) we get from Proposition 2.8 that A_{et} is weakly homotopy equivalent to $B\pi_1(A)$ for every principally polarised abelian variety A defined over an algebraically closed field. Moreover every abelian variety defined over an algebraically closed field is isogeneous to a principally polarised one so there is a finite étale cover $A \rightarrow \overline{X}$ where A is a principally polarised abelian variety over $\overline{K}$. The corresponding map $A_{et} \rightarrow \overline{X}_{et}$ is a finite covering, so $\overline{X}_{et}$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to $B\pi_1(\overline{X})$. The claim now follows from Theorem 2.5 and from Theorem 11.1 of [1] on page 124. $\square$

Notation 2.13. For every CW complex X and for every prime number p let $X_p^\wedge$ denote the Bousfield-Kan mod p completion of X . For every continuous map $s : Y \rightarrow X$ of CW complexes let $F_s(X, Y)$ denote the function space of continuous sections $X \rightarrow Y$ of s . We will drop s from the notation when this does not cause confusion. Now let G be a finite group and let X be a G -CW complex. Note that the natural G -equivariant inclusion map $X^G \rightarrow X$ induces a map

$$X^G \times BG = X^G \times_G EG \rightarrow X \times_G EG$$

which in turn induces a map:

$$(2.13.1) \quad X^G \hookrightarrow F(BG, X^G \times_G EG) \rightarrow F(BG, X \times_G EG).$$

Note that $X_p^\wedge$ is naturally equipped with a G -action such that the completion map $c_{X,p} : X \rightarrow X_p^\wedge$ is G -equivariant. Let $i_{X,p}$ denote the composition of the map of (2.13.1) and the map

$$F(BG, X \times_G EG) \rightarrow F(BG, X_p^\wedge \times_G EG)$$

induced by $c_{X,p}$.

Now we state Carlsson's theorem, also known as Sullivan's conjecture.

Theorem 2.14. *Let G be a finite p -group, and let X be a finite G -CW complex. Then the map $i_{X,p} : X^G \rightarrow F(BG, X_p^\wedge \times_G EG)$ induces an isomorphism on mod p homology.*

Proof. This is immediate from claim (c) of Theorem B of [5] on page 498. $\square$

Because a smooth compact $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -manifold is triangulable as a finite $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -CW complex by the main theorem of [15] the following claim along with Proposition 2.7 implies Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 2.15. *For every smooth variety X over $\mathbb{R}$ the map $\iota_X^\natural$ is the composition of $f_X : X(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \pi_0(X(\mathbb{R}))$ and a unique map:*

$$\iota_{X,0}^\natural : \pi_0(X(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow [\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{R})_{et}, X_{et}^\natural]$$

which is bijective if $X(\mathbb{C})$ is nilpotent in the sense of Bousfield-Kan and it has a $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}|\mathbb{R})$ -equivariant deformation retraction onto a finite $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}|\mathbb{R})$ -CW complex.

Proof. Because $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}|\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ we have $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{R})_{et} = B\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover we have $X_{et} \cong X_{et}^\wedge$ and $\overline{X}_{et} \cong \overline{X}_{et}^\wedge$ by Theorem 11.1 of [1] on page 124. Therefore part (i) of Lemma 2.3, Theorems 2.5 and 2.6 imply that there is a pair of natural identifications:

$$[\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{R})_{et}, X_{et}^\natural] \cong \pi_0(F(B\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \overline{X}_{et})) \cong \pi_0(F(B\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, X(\mathbb{C})^\wedge)).$$

Moreover there is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_X^\natural} & \pi_0(F(B\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, X(\mathbb{C})^\wedge)) \\ f_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_0(X(\mathbb{R})) & \xrightarrow{\pi_0(i_{X(\mathbb{C}),p})} & \pi_0(F(B\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, X(\mathbb{C})_p^\wedge)) \end{array}$$

where the right vertical map is induced by the tautological map $X(\mathbb{C})^\wedge \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})_p^\wedge$. Because $X(\mathbb{C})$ has a $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}|\mathbb{R})$ -equivariant deformation retraction onto a finite $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}|\mathbb{R})$ -CW complex the map $\pi_0(i_{X(\mathbb{C}),p})$ is a bijection by Theorem 2.14. Note that for every nilpotent object S of $\mathrm{pro}\text{-}\mathcal{H}$ and for every prime number p we have:

$$(2.15.1) \quad S^\wedge \cong S_p^\wedge \times_p S^\wedge$$

where $_p S^\wedge$ denotes the completion of S with respect to the class of those groups whose order is not divisible by p . In fact when we prove (2.15.1) we can argue the same way as Artin and Mazur did in the proof of Corollary 12.14 of [1] on pages 145-146; we only need to add that every finite nilpotent group decomposes canonically as the direct product of its Sylows subgroups. Hence for every nilpotent S we get that

$$\pi_0(F(B\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, S^\wedge)) = \pi_0(F(B\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, S_2^\wedge)) \times \pi_0(F(B\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, {}_2 S^\wedge))$$

Since the set $\pi_0(F(B\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, {}_2 S^\wedge))$ consists of one point for every object S of $\mathrm{pro}\text{-}\mathcal{H}$ we may conclude that the right vertical map is also bijective when $X(\mathbb{C})$ is nilpotent. $\square$

3. INVARIANTS OF HOMOTOPY SECTIONS

Notation 3.1. Let X be a geometrically connected variety defined over K . Let η be a $\overline{K}$ -valued point of X . Then Grothendieck's short exact sequence of étale fundamental groups for X is:

$$(3.1.1) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(\overline{X}, \eta) \longrightarrow \pi_1(X, \eta) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K) \longrightarrow 1,$$

which is an exact sequence of profinite groups in the category of topological groups. Every K -rational point $x \in X(K)$ induces a section $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, \eta)$ of the sequence (3.1.1), well-defined up to conjugation. Let $\mathrm{Sec}(X)$ denote the set of conjugacy classes of sections of (3.1.1) (in the category of profinite groups where morphisms are continuous homomorphisms). Then we have a map:

$$i_X : X(K) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sec}(X)$$

which sends every point $x \in X(K)$ to the corresponding conjugacy class of sections.

Notation 3.2. Note that $\iota_X^\natural(\eta)$ is a point of $X_{et}^\natural$ since η is a $\overline{K}$ -valued point of X . The fundamental group $\pi_1(X_{et}^\natural, \iota_X^\natural(\eta))$ of $X_{et}^\natural$ is isomorphic to the étale fundamental group $\pi_1(X, \eta)$ of X by Corollary 10.7 of [1] on page 122. Under this identification every element of $[\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^\natural]$ induces a section $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, \eta)$ of the short exact sequence (3.1.1), well-defined up to conjugation. Let $j_X : [\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^\natural] \rightarrow \mathrm{Sec}(X)$ denote the corresponding map. Then the diagram:

$$(3.2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X(K) & \xrightarrow{i_X} & \mathrm{Sec}(X) \\ \iota_X^\natural \downarrow & & \parallel \\ [\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^\natural] & \xrightarrow{j_X} & \mathrm{Sec}(X) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

The following claim is an immediate consequence of the universal property of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that X_{et} is weakly homotopy equivalent to $B\pi_1(X)$. Then the map j_X is a bijection. $\square$*

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The claim follows from Theorem 1.2 when X has genus zero, and it follows from Lemma 3.3 and the real version of Grothendieck's section conjecture (see Corollary 3.13 of [20] on page 142 or Theorem 1.3 of [21]) when X has positive genus. $\square$

Remark 3.4. Note that the discussion in Notation 3.2 makes sense for a field K of positive characteristic where the role of the algebraic closure of K is played by the separable closure of K . In the special case when K is a finite field it was observed in [26] (see Proposition 2.8 on pages 151-152) that the map $i_{\mathbb{A}_K^1}$, hence by the commutativity of (3.2.1) the map $\iota_{\mathbb{A}_K^1}^\natural$, is injective. Therefore it is not true in general that for two points $x, y \in X(K)$ which are directly $\mathbb{A}^1$ -equivalent, i.e. there is a morphism $f : \mathbb{A}_K^1 \rightarrow X$ of K -varieties such that $f(0) = x$ and $f(1) = y$, we have $\iota_X^\natural(x) = \iota_X^\natural(y)$.

Proposition 3.5. *Claims (a) and (b) of Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 are true.*

Proof. First assume that X is a smooth, geometrically connected projective curve of genus at least two over K . Recall that when K is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ the local version of Grothendieck's section conjecture claims that the map i_X is a bijection. Hence claim (a) of Theorem 1.4 follows at once from Corollary 2.8 and Lemma 3.3. Assume now that K is a number field. Then there is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(K) & \xrightarrow{i_X} & \text{Sec}(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{v \in |K|} X_v(K_v) & \xrightarrow{\prod_{v \in |K|} i_{X_v}} & \prod_{v \in |K|} \text{Sec}(X_v) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the obvious maps. The weak form of Grothendieck's section conjecture asserts that the diagram above is cartesian. Hence claim (a) of Theorem 1.5 is also an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.11 and Lemma 3.3. Assume now that X is an abelian variety over K . By Corollary 2.12 and Lemma 3.3 the map $j_X : [\text{Spec}(K)_{\text{et}}, X_{\text{et}}^{\natural}] \rightarrow \text{Sec}(X)$ is a bijection. Moreover there is natural bijection $\text{Sec}(X) \cong H^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{K}|K), \prod_{l \text{ is prime}} T_l(X))$ where $T_l(X)$ denotes the l -th Tate module of X , and under this identification j_X corresponds to the coboundary map furnished by Kummer theory. By Corollary 3.4 of [19] on page 53 the groups $H^1(K, X)$ and $X^{\vee}(K)^{\wedge}$ are isomorphic, where the latter is the Pontryagin dual of the compact group $X^{\vee}(K)$ of K -valued points of the dual $X^{\vee}$ of X . Therefore the group $H^1(K, X)$ is zero only when X is zero dimensional. Hence claim (b) of both Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 is obviously true. $\square$

Definition 3.6. Let X be again a variety over K . By Corollary 10.8 of [1] on pages 122-123 there is a natural equivalence between the category of locally constant étale sheaves of finite abelian groups on X and local coefficient systems of finite abelian groups on $X_{\text{et}}^{\natural}$ and under this equivalence the étale cohomology of X with coefficients in a locally constant étale sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of finite abelian groups is the same as the cohomology of $X_{\text{et}}^{\natural}$ with coefficients in the local coefficient system corresponding to $\mathcal{F}$. We will not distinguish these two categories in all that follows. In particular for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we will identify $H^*(X_{\text{et}}^{\natural}, \mu_n)$ and $H^*(X, \mu_n)$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $(\cdot, \cdot)_n$ denote the pairing:

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_n : [\text{Spec}(K)_{\text{et}}, X_{\text{et}}^{\natural}] \times H^2(X, \mu_n) \rightarrow H^2(\text{Spec}(K), \mu_n)$$

given by the rule $(x, c) = x^*(c)$.

The following lemma is immediate from the functoriality of the constructions involved:

Lemma 3.7. *The diagram:*

$$(3.7.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X(K) & \times & \text{Br}(X) & \xrightarrow{(\cdot, \cdot)} & \text{Br}(K) \\ \iota_X^{\natural} \downarrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \alpha_n \\ [\text{Spec}(K)_{\text{et}}, X_{\text{et}}^{\natural}] \times H^2(X, \mu_n) & \xrightarrow{(\cdot, \cdot)_n} & H^2(\text{Spec}(K), \mu_n) \end{array}$$

commutes where the middle and right vertical arrows are induced by the inclusion $\mu_n \subset \mathbb{G}_m$ of sheaves. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Let ζ be the generic point of X . Because X is regular and irreducible the map $H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H^2(\zeta, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is injective by Corollaire 1.8 of [13] on page 73. Because $H^2(\zeta, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is the Brauer group of the function field of X (see Proposition 2.7 of [18] on page 146), it is torsion. Hence $H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is also torsion. Therefore for every $b \in H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ there is a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a $c \in H^2(X, \mu_n)$ such that b is the image of c under the natural map $H^2(X, \mu_n) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$. The claim now follows from the commutativity of the diagram (3.7.1). $\square$

Definition 3.8. Assume now that K is a number field and X is an irreducible, smooth, projective variety over K . Let $X(\mathbb{A}_K)^H$ denote the fibre product which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(\mathbb{A}_K)^H & \xrightarrow{\iota_{X, \mathbb{A}}^\natural} & [\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^\natural] \\ i_{X, \mathbb{A}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Pi_{v \in |K|} r_{v*} \\ \prod_{v \in |K|} X_v(K_v) & \xrightarrow{\prod_{v \in |K|} \iota_{X_v}^\natural} & \prod_{v \in |K|} [\mathrm{Spec}(K_v)_{et}, (X_v)_{et}^\natural] \end{array}$$

cartesian. The assignment $X \mapsto X(\mathbb{A}_K)^H$ is a covariant functor into the category of sets and $X \mapsto X(K)$ is a subfunctor.

Remark 3.9. The notation might suggest that $X(\mathbb{A}_K)^H$ is actually a subset of the set of adèlic points $X(\mathbb{A}_K) = \prod_{x \in |K|} X_v(K_v)$ of X . However this is not true in general. By Remark 8.10.2 of [7] on page 91 there is a Châtelet surface X defined over a number field K and two points $x, y \in X(K)$ such that x and y are not R -equivalent, but for every $v \in |K|$ the points $i_v(x)$ and $i_v(y)$ have the same equivalence class in $X_v(K_v)/R$. Hence the pairs $(\prod_{v \in |K|} i_v(x), \iota_X^\natural(x))$ and $(\prod_{v \in |K|} i_v(x), \iota_X^\natural(y))$ are elements of $X(\mathbb{A}_K)^H$ with the same image under the map $i_{X, \mathbb{A}}$. However these two elements of $X(\mathbb{A}_K)^H$ are different by Theorem 1.9.

Notation 3.10. For every K and X as above let

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X(\mathbb{A}_K) \times \mathrm{Br}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

denote the Brauer-Manin pairing for X , and let

$$X(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\mathrm{Br}} = \{h \in X(\mathbb{A}_K) \mid \langle h, b \rangle = 0 \ (\forall b \in \mathrm{Br}(X))\}$$

denote the set of adèlic points of X annihilated by this pairing.

Proposition 3.11. *We have $i_{X, \mathbb{A}}(X(\mathbb{A}_K)^H) \subseteq X(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\mathrm{Br}}$.*

Proof. For every $v \in |K|$ let

$$\mathrm{inv}_v : \mathrm{Br}(K_v) = H^2(\mathrm{Spec}(K_v), \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

denote the canonical invariant of the Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(K_v)$ of the local field K_v . Let $h = \prod_{v \in |K|} h_v \in X(\mathbb{A}_K)$ and $s \in [\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^\natural]$ be such that $\iota_{X_v}^\natural(h_v) = r_{v*}(s)$ for every $v \in |K|$ and let $b \in \mathrm{Br}(X)$ be arbitrary. As we saw in the proof of Theorem

1.7 there is a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a $c \in H^2(X, \mu_n)$ such that b is the image of c under the natural map $H^2(X, \mu_n) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h, b \rangle &= \sum_{v \in |K|} \text{inv}_v((h_v, j_{v*}(b))) \\ &= \sum_{v \in |K|} \text{inv}_v((r_{v*}(s), \alpha_n(j_{v*}(c)))_n) \\ &= \sum_{v \in |K|} \text{inv}_v(j_{v*}(\alpha_n((s, c)_n))) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 3.7 in the second equation, and the fourth equation follows from the reciprocity law for Brauer groups over global fields applied to the cohomology class $\alpha_n((s, c)_n) \in H^2(\text{Spec}(K), \mathbb{G}_m)$. The claim is now clear. $\square$

Let G be a finite, étale group scheme over $\text{Spec}(K)$ and let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a G -torsor over X . For every $\sigma \in H^1(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}|K), G)$ let $\pi^\sigma : Y^\sigma \rightarrow X$ denote the twist of $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ by σ .

Lemma 3.12. *We have:*

$$\bigcup_{\sigma \in H^1(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}|K), G)} \pi^\sigma(Y^\sigma(\mathbb{A}_K)^H) = X(\mathbb{A}_K)^H.$$

Proof. Let $h = \prod_{v \in |K|} h_v \in X(\mathbb{A}_K)$ and $s \in [\text{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^\natural]$ be such that $\iota_{X_v}^\natural(h_v) = r_{v*}(s)$ for every $v \in |K|$. The map $\pi_* : Y_{et}^\natural \rightarrow X_{et}^\natural$ induced by π is a finite topological cover which has the structure of a principal $G(\overline{K})$ -space. Hence the same is true of the fibre product $\text{Spec}(K)_{et} \times_{X_{et}^\natural} Y_{et}^\natural$ sitting in the cartesian diagram:

$$(3.12.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(K)_{et} \times_{X_{et}^\natural} Y_{et}^\natural & \xrightarrow{\rho_s} & Y_{et}^\natural \\ \pi_s \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_* \\ \text{Spec}(K)_{et} & \xrightarrow{s} & X_{et}^\natural. \end{array}$$

Therefore π_s is classified by a cohomology class $\sigma \in H^1(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}|K), G)$. Because this construction respects the $G(\overline{K})$ -actions involved the similar fibre product sitting in the diagram:

$$(3.12.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(K)_{et} \times_{X_{et}^\natural} (Y_{et}^\sigma)^\natural & \xrightarrow{\rho_s^\sigma} & (Y_{et}^\sigma)^\natural \\ \pi_s^\sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_*^\sigma \\ \text{Spec}(K)_{et} & \xrightarrow{s} & X_{et}^\natural. \end{array}$$

formed over the map $\pi_*^\sigma : (Y_{et}^\sigma)^\natural \rightarrow X_{et}^\natural$ induced by π^σ is actually a trivial $G(\overline{K})$ -cover. Choose a section:

$$s_0 : \text{Spec}(K)_{et} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)_{et} \times_{X_{et}^\natural} (Y_{et}^\sigma)^\natural$$

of π_*^σ and let s' denote the composition of s_0 and ρ_s^σ . For every $v \in |K|$ let $Y_{h_v}^\sigma$ denote the finite étale scheme $(\pi^\sigma)^{-1}(h_v)$ over K_v . Because $\iota_{X_v}^\natural(h_v) = r_{v*}(s)$ there is a cartesian diagram:

$$(3.12.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (Y_{h_v}^\sigma)_{et}^\natural & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et} \times_{X_{et}^\natural} (Y_{et}^\sigma)^\natural \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_s^\sigma \\ \mathrm{Spec}(K_v)_{et} & \xrightarrow{j_v^*} & \mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}. \end{array}$$

in the category $\mathrm{pro}\text{-}\mathcal{H}$. Let $s_{0v} \in [\mathrm{Spec}(K_v)_{et}, (Y_{h_v}^\sigma)_{et}^\natural]$ be the section which corresponds to s_0 under the diagram (3.12.3). Since $Y_{h_v}^\sigma$ is a finite étale scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(K_v)$ there is a unique point $h'_v \in Y^\sigma(K_v)$ such that $\iota_{Y_{h_v}^\sigma}^\natural(h'_v) = s_{0v}$. Therefore h'_v , considered as a point in $Y_v^\sigma(K_v)$, is such that $\iota_{Y_v^\sigma}^\natural(h'_v) = r_{v*}(s')$. Hence the pair $(\prod_{v \in |K|} h'_v, s')$ is an element of $Y^\sigma(\mathbb{A}_K)^H$ which maps to $(\prod_{v \in |K|} h_v, s) \in X(\mathbb{A}_K)^H$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let X be a smooth projective variety over K such that the descent obstruction on torsors under linear algebraic groups explains the failure of the Hasse principle for X . Then by Théorème 1 of [9] on page 242 there is a finite, étale group scheme G over $\mathrm{Spec}(K)$ and a G -torsor $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ such that for every $\sigma \in H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K), G)$ the set $Y^\sigma(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\mathrm{Br}}$ is empty. Then for every $\sigma \in H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K), G)$ the set $Y^\sigma(\mathbb{A}_K)^H$ is also empty by Proposition 3.11. Hence $X(\mathbb{A}_K)^H$ is also empty by Lemma 3.12. In particular HSP holds for X . The equivalence of the two conditions in the theorem follows from the result quoted above and Corollary 1.2 of [24] on page 503. $\square$

4. OBSTRUCTION THEORY

Definition 4.1. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for morphism $m : R \rightarrow S$ in $\mathrm{pro}\text{-}\mathcal{H}$ let $\mathrm{cosk}_n(m) : \mathrm{cosk}_n(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{cosk}_n(S)$ denote the map induced by the functoriality of the n -th coskeleton. Note that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for every pro-group G and for every object (S, b) of $\mathrm{pro}\text{-}\mathcal{H}_G$ the pair $(\mathrm{cosk}_n(S), \mathrm{cosk}_n(b))$ can be considered as an object of $\mathrm{pro}\text{-}\mathcal{H}_G$ since $\mathrm{cosk}_n(BG) = \mathrm{cosk}_1(BG) = BG$. Moreover the truncation map $S^\natural \rightarrow \mathrm{cosk}_n(S)$ is a morphism of $\mathrm{pro}\text{-}\mathcal{H}_G$ from the object $(S^\natural, b^\natural)$ to the object $(\mathrm{cosk}_n(S), \mathrm{cosk}_n(b))$. For every positive integer n let $\sim_n$ denote the following equivalence relation on $[BG, S^\natural]$: for every pair $x, y \in [BG, S^\natural]$ we have $x \sim_n y$ if and only if the image of x and y under the map

$$[BG, S^\natural] \longrightarrow [BG, \mathrm{cosk}_n(S)]$$

induced by the truncation map is the same. It is clear that for every positive integer n the equivalence relation $\sim_{n+1}$ is finer than the equivalence relation $\sim_n$.

Theorem 4.2. Assume that X is a smooth geometrically connected variety over K . For every $x, y \in [\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^\natural]$ the following holds:

- (i) we have $x = y$ if and only if $x \sim_n y$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (ii) we have $x \sim_1 y$ if and only if $j_X(x) = j_X(y)$,
- (iii) for every positive integer n if $x \sim_n y$ then there exists a natural obstruction class $\delta_n^X(x, y) \in H^{n+1}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K), \pi_{n+1}(\overline{X}))$ such that $x \sim_{n+1} y$ if and only if $\delta_n^X(x, y) = 0$.

Proof. The first claim is an immediate consequence of the definition of $X_{et}^{\natural}$. The second claim follows from the universal property of the Eilenberg-MacLane space $\text{cosk}_1(X_{et}) = B\pi_1(X)$. The last claim follows from the existence of the spectral sequence for homotopy groups of homotopy fixed points of connected pointed profinite G -spaces

$$E_2^{s,t} = H^s(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}|K), \pi_t(\overline{X})) \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s}(X)$$

constructed in [29], as explained in section 6 of [27]. $\square$

Corollary 4.3. *Assume that K is a number field and let X be a smooth geometrically connected variety X over K . Then for every $x, y \in [\text{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^{\natural}]$ such that $x \sim_2 y$ we have:*

$$x = y \Leftrightarrow r_{v*}(x) = r_{v*}(y) \quad (v \in |K|, v \text{ is real}).$$

Proof. The product of the restriction maps:

$$\prod_{\substack{v \in |K| \\ v \text{ is real}}} j_{v*} : H^n(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}|K), M) \longrightarrow \prod_{\substack{v \in |K| \\ v \text{ is real}}} H^n(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}_v|K_v), M)$$

is injective for every integer $n \geq 3$ and for every discrete Galois module M over K by part (c) of Theorem 4.10 of [19] on page 70. Hence for every $n \geq 2$ and for every pair of sections $x, y \in [\text{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^{\natural}]$ such that $x \sim_n y$ we get that

$$\delta_n^X(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \delta_n^{X_v}(r_{v*}(x), r_{v*}(y)) = 0 \quad (v \in |K|, v \text{ is real})$$

from the naturality of the obstruction classes. The claim now follows from Theorem 4.2. $\square$

Proposition 4.4. *Let X be a smooth geometrically connected variety defined over K such that $\pi_1(\overline{X}) = \{1\}$ and $\pi_2(\overline{X}) = \{0\}$. Then the following holds:*

- (i) *the set $[\text{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^{\natural}]$ has one element when K is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $X(K) \neq \emptyset$.*
- (ii) *The map:*

$$\prod_{\substack{v \in |K| \\ v \text{ is real}}} r_{v*} : [\text{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^{\natural}] \longrightarrow \prod_{\substack{v \in |K| \\ v \text{ is real}}} [\text{Spec}(K_v)_{et}, (X_v)_{et}^{\natural}]$$

is injective when K is a number field.

Proof. By Theorem 2.5 we have $\pi_1(X) = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)$ so the set $\text{Sec}(X)$ consists of one element. Therefore $x \sim_1 y$ for every $x, y \in [\text{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^{\natural}]$. Because $\pi_2(\overline{X}) = \{0\}$ the obstruction class $\delta_1^X(x, y)$ is also zero. When K is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ its cohomological dimension is two, hence the obstruction $\delta_n^X(x, y)$ vanishes for every $n \geq 2$, too. Therefore claim (i) holds by Theorem 4.2, while claim (ii) is a consequence of Corollary 4.3 and Theorem 4.2. $\square$

For every field K and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathbb{B}_K^n$ denote $\mathbb{A}_K^n - \{0\}$. The next proposition will not play any role later, but it is an interesting application of Proposition 4.4.

Proposition 4.5. *The set $[\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}, (\mathbb{B}_K^n)_{et}^\natural]$ consists of one element when $n \geq 2$ and K is either a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ or a number field.*

Proof. Note that $B_{\mathbb{C}}^n(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$ homotopy retracts $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{C}|\mathbb{R})$ -equivariantly to the $(2n-1)$ -dimensional sphere S^{2n-1} and it is simply connected when $n \geq 2$. Hence $[\mathrm{Spec}(K_v)_{et}, (\mathbb{B}_{K_v}^n)_{et}^\natural]$ consists of one element when K is a number field, v is a real place of K and $n \geq 2$ by Proposition 2.15. By Corollary 12.13 of [1] on page 144 we know that $(\mathbb{B}_K^n)_{et}$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to $(\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{C}}^n)_{et}$. Because $B_{\mathbb{C}}^n(\mathbb{C})$ is homotopy equivalent to S^{2n-1} we get that $\pi_1(\mathbb{B}_K^n) = \{1\}$ and $\pi_2(\mathbb{B}_K^n) = \{0\}$ by Theorem 2.6 and by Corollary 6.2 of [1] on page 70. Therefore the claim is a consequence of Proposition 4.4. $\square$

Notation 4.6. Recall that for every field of characteristic zero the topological $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)$ -module $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)$ is defined as the projective limit $\varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_n$ where the directed set structure on $\mathbb{N}$ is furnished by divisibility and for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m|n$ the transition map $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu_m$ is multiplication by n/m . For every number field K , for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every discrete $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)$ -module M let $\mathrm{III}^k(K, M)$ denote the subgroup:

$$\mathrm{III}^k(K, M) = \mathrm{Ker} \left(\prod_{v \in |K|} j_{v*} : H^k(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K), M) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in |K|} H^k(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}_v|K_v), M) \right)$$

of $H^k(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K), M)$ where j_{v*} denotes the restriction map induced by the inclusion $j_v : \overline{K} \rightarrow \overline{K}_v$ for every $v \in |K|$. For every topological $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)$ -module M which is a projective limit $\varprojlim_{i \in I} M_i$ of discrete $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)$ -modules let $\mathrm{III}^k(K, M)$ denote the projective limit $\varprojlim_{i \in I} \mathrm{III}^k(K, M_i)$.

Lemma 4.7. *We have $\mathrm{III}^2(K, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)) = 0$ for every number field K .*

Proof. By part (a) of Theorem 4.10 of [19] on page 70 we have $\mathrm{III}^2(K, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)) \cong \mathrm{III}^1(K, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ where we equip $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ with the trivial $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)$ -action. We may identify $\mathrm{III}^1(K, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ with the kernel of the map:

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K), \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in |K|} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}_v|K_v), \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$$

furnished by restriction onto the family of subgroups $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}_v|K_v)$ ($\forall v \in |K|$) of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)$. The claim now follows from the Chebotarev density theorem. $\square$

Lemma 4.8. *Let X be a smooth geometrically connected variety defined over a number field K such that $\pi_1(\overline{X}) = \{1\}$ and $\pi_2(\overline{X}) = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)$. Then the map:*

$$\prod_{v \in |K|} r_{v*} : [\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}, (X)_{et}^\natural] \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in |K|} [\mathrm{Spec}(K_v)_{et}, (X_v)_{et}^\natural]$$

is injective.

Proof. Let $x, y \in [\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{\mathrm{et}}, (X)_{\mathrm{et}}^{\flat}]$ such that $r_{v*}(x) = r_{v*}(y)$ for every $v \in |K|$. By Theorem 2.5 we have $\pi_1(X) = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)$ so the set $\mathrm{Sec}(X)$ consists of one element. Therefore $x \sim_1 y$. Moreover $\delta_1^{X_v}(r_{v*}(x), r_{v*}(y)) = 0$ for every $v \in |K|$ therefore $\delta_1^X(x, y) \in \mathrm{III}^2(K, \pi_2(\overline{X}))$ by the naturality of obstruction classes. Hence by Lemma 4.7 we get $x \sim_2 y$. The claim now follows from Corollary 4.3. $\square$

For every field K of characteristic zero and for every variety X over K let $X(K)/H$ denote the set of H -equivalence classes on $X(K)$. In the special case when K is a number field for every $v \in |K|$ the target of the restriction of r_{v*} onto $\mathrm{Sel}^{\flat}(X)$ can be identified with $X_v(K_v)/H$. The next claim is immediate from the lemma above.

Corollary 4.9. *Let X be a smooth geometrically connected variety defined over a number field K such that $\pi_1(\overline{X}) = \{1\}$ and $\pi_2(\overline{X}) = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)$. Then the map:*

$$\prod_{v \in |K|} r_{v*} : \mathrm{Sel}^{\flat}(X) \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in |K|} X_v(K_v)/H$$

is injective. $\square$

Proposition 4.10. *Claim (c) of Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.11 are true.*

Proof. Let K be an arbitrary field of characteristic zero. By Corollary 12.13 of [1] on page 144 we know that $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{K}}^n$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$. Because $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n(\mathbb{C})) = \{1\}$ we get $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}_{\overline{K}}^n) = \{1\}$ by Theorem 2.6. Hence

$$\pi_2(\mathbb{P}_{\overline{K}}^n) = H_2(\mathbb{P}_{\overline{K}}^n, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) = \mathrm{Hom}(H^2(\mathbb{P}_{\overline{K}}^n, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}), \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)$$

by Corollary 6.2 of [1] on page 70. Because $\mathbb{P}_{\overline{K}}^n(K)/R$ consists of one element for every K as above claim (c) of Theorem 1.5 follows from Corollary 4.9. Let us concern ourselves now with the proof of claim (i) of Theorem 1.7. Let $j : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\overline{K}}^{n+1}$ be the closed immersion of X into the projective space as a hypersurface. Choose an embedding of K into $\mathbb{C}$. Then the map $j_{\mathbb{C}} : X(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ furnished by j induces isomorphisms $\pi_i(j_{\mathbb{C}}) : \pi_i(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow \pi_i(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1})$ for $i = 1, 2$ by the Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem. We may conclude as above that $\pi_1(\overline{X}) = \{1\}$ and $\pi_2(\overline{X}) = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)$. So by Corollary 4.9 it will be enough to show that $X_v(K_v)/H$ has at most one element for every non-archimedean $v \in |K|$. Let $x, y \in X_v(K_v)$. Then the points $j(x), j(y) \in \mathbb{P}_{K_v}^{n+1}(K_v)$ are R -equivalent so in particular $\iota_{\mathbb{P}_{K_v}^{n+1}}^{\flat}(j(x)) \sim_2 \iota_{\mathbb{P}_{K_v}^{n+1}}^{\flat}(j(y))$. The maps $\pi_i(j) : \pi_i(\overline{X}_v) \rightarrow \pi_i(\mathbb{P}_{\overline{K}_v}^{n+1})$ induced by j are isomorphisms for $i = 1, 2$ by the above and by Corollary 12.13 of [1] on page 144. Hence $\iota_{X_v}^{\flat}(x) \sim_2 \iota_{X_v}^{\flat}(y)$ because of the naturality of obstruction classes. The cohomological dimension of K_v is two, so the obstruction class $\delta_n^{X_v}(\iota_{X_v}^{\flat}(x), \iota_{X_v}^{\flat}(y))$ vanishes for every $n \geq 2$, too. Therefore x and y are H -equivalent so claim (i) is true. Because $X(\mathbb{C})$ is simply connected claim (ii) is also true by Theorem 1.2. $\square$

5. BRAUER EQUIVALENCE VERSUS H -EQUIVALENCE

Definition 5.1. For the sake of simple notation for the rest of the paper for every field K and for every $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)$ -module M let $H^k(K, M)$ denote the k -th Galois cohomology of M . Assume now that K is a p -adic field and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$c_{K,n} : H^2(K, \mu_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ be the isomorphism furnished by local Tate duality. For every geometrically irreducible variety X defined over K let

$$H_{*,n} : H^2(K, \pi_2(\overline{X})/n\pi_2(\overline{X})) \longrightarrow H^2(K, H_2(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}))$$

be the homomorphism induced by the Hurewicz map:

$$H_n : \pi_2(\overline{X})/n\pi_2(\overline{X}) \longrightarrow H_2(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}),$$

and let

$$\{\cdot, \cdot\}_n : H^2(K, \pi_2(\overline{X})/n\pi_2(\overline{X})) \times H^0(K, H^2(\overline{X}, \mu_n)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$$

be the bilinear pairing given by the rule:

$$\{x, y\}_n = c_{K,n}(H_{*,n}(x) \cup y),$$

(for every $x \in H^2(K, \pi_2(\overline{X})/n\pi_2(\overline{X}))$, $y \in H^0(K, H^2(\overline{X}, \mu_n))$), where the cup product

$$\cup : H^2(K, H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})) \times H^0(K, H^2(\overline{X}, \mu_n)) \longrightarrow H^2(K, \mu_n)$$

is induced by the evaluation pairing:

$$H_2(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \times H^2(\overline{X}, \mu_n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}.$$

The following lemma is immediate from the functoriality of the constructions involved:

Lemma 5.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of geometrically irreducible varieties over K . Then the diagram:*

$$(5.2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^2(K, \pi_2(\overline{X})/n\pi_2(\overline{X})) \times H^0(K, H^2(\overline{X}, \mu_n)) & \xrightarrow{\{\cdot, \cdot\}_n} & \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \\ \pi_2(f)_* \downarrow & & \parallel \\ H^2(K, \pi_2(\overline{Y})/n\pi_2(\overline{Y})) \times H^0(K, H^2(\overline{Y}, \mu_n)) & \xrightarrow{\{\cdot, \cdot\}_n} & \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

commutes. $\square$

Lemma 5.3. *Assume that X is a geometrically irreducible smooth projective surface over K such that $\pi_1(\overline{X}) = \{1\}$. Then the pairing:*

$$\{\cdot, \cdot\}_n : H^2(K, \pi_2(\overline{X})/n\pi_2(\overline{X})) \times H^0(K, H^2(\overline{X}, \mu_n)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$$

is perfect.

Proof. By our assumptions the evaluation pairing:

$$H_2(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \times H^2(\overline{X}, \mu_n) \longrightarrow \mu_n$$

is a perfect $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)$ -equivariant pairing. Moreover in this case the Hurewicz map H_n is an isomorphism so the claim follows from local Tate duality. $\square$

Let X be a geometrically irreducible variety over K . For every pair of positive integers d, n and for every $x, y \in [\text{Spec}(K)_{\text{et}}, X_{\text{et}}^1]$ such that $x \sim_d y$ let $\delta_d^X(x, y)_n$ denote the image of the obstruction class $\delta_d^X(x, y)$ under the natural map $H^{d+1}(K, \pi_{d+1}(\overline{X})) \rightarrow H^{d+1}(K, \pi_{d+1}(\overline{X})/n\pi_{d+1}(\overline{X}))$. Finally let

$$\phi_{X,n} : H^2(X, \mu_n) \rightarrow H^0(K, H^2(\overline{X}, \mu_n))$$

be the map induced by base change.

Lemma 5.4. *Let X be a geometrically irreducible smooth projective variety over K . For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for every $c \in H^2(X, \mu_n)$ and for every $x, y \in X(K)$ such that $\iota_X^\natural(x) \sim_1 \iota_X^\natural(y)$ we have:*

$$(\iota_X^\natural(x), c)_n = (\iota_X^\natural(y), c)_n \quad \Rightarrow \quad \{\delta_1^X(\iota_X^\natural(x), \iota_X^\natural(y))_n, \phi_{X,n}(c)\}_n = 0.$$

Proof. By a theorem of Gabber (see Theorem 1 of [12] on page 163) there is an Azumaya algebra A on X which represents $\alpha_n(c) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$. Without the loss of generality we may assume that A has rank n by enlarging n if it is necessary. Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be the PGL_n -torsor corresponding to A , that is, the torsor whose class in $H^1(X, PGL_n)$ is the same as the class of A . Let $\sigma \in H^1(K, PGL_n)$ be the class of the fibre of X over x and let $\pi^\sigma : Y \rightarrow X$ be the twist of $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ by σ . Then the fibre of Y^σ over x is a trivial PGL_n -torsor. Because $(x, c)_n = (y, c)_n$ and the natural map $H^1(K, PGL_n) \rightarrow H^2(K, \mu_n)$ is injective we get that the fibre of Y^σ over y is also a trivial PGL_n -torsor. So there are points x', y' in $Y^\sigma(K)$ whose image under π^σ is x, y , respectively. Note that the geometric fibres of the morphism π^σ are connected and have trivial étale fundamental group hence the map $\pi_1(\pi^\sigma) : \pi_1(Y^\sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ is an isomorphism. Hence by part (ii) of Theorem 4.2 we have $\iota_{Y^\sigma}^\natural(x') \sim_1 \iota_{Y^\sigma}^\natural(y')$. Therefore by Lemma 5.2 and the naturality of obstruction classes it will be enough to show that

$$\{\delta_1^X(\iota_{Y^\sigma}^\natural(x'), \iota_{Y^\sigma}^\natural(y'))_n, \phi_{Y^\sigma,n}((\pi^\sigma)^*(c))\}_n = 0.$$

In order to do so it will be enough to show that $\phi_{Y^\sigma,n}((\pi^\sigma)^*(c)) = 0$. Note that there is a natural identification $\overline{Y^\sigma} = \overline{Y}$ and under this identification $\phi_{Y^\sigma,n}((\pi^\sigma)^*(c)) = \phi_{Y,n}(\pi^*(c))$. Hence it will be enough to show that $\pi^*(c) = 0$. But the latter is clear since the pull-back of the torsor $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ onto Y with respect to π is trivial: the diagonal $Y \rightarrow Y \times_X Y$ is a section. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.8. The Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence:

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H^p(K, H^q(\overline{X}, \mu_n)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X, \mu_n)$$

furnishes on $H^2(X, \mu_n)$ a filtration:

$$0 = E_3^2 \subseteq E_2^2 \subseteq E_1^2 \subseteq E_0^2 = H^2(X, \mu_n)$$

such that

$$E_{p,2-p}^\infty \cong E_p^2 / E_{p+1}^2, \quad p = 0, 1, 2.$$

Since $H^1(\overline{X}, \mu_n) = 0$ by assumption we get that

$$E_{0,1}^2 = E_{1,1}^2 = E_{2,1}^2 = 0$$

hence

$$E_{2,0}^\infty = E_{2,0}^2 / \text{Im}(d_{0,1}^2) = E_{2,0}^2 = H^2(K, H^0(\overline{X}, \mu_n)) = H^2(K, \mu_n),$$

$$E_{1,1}^\infty = \text{Ker}(d_{1,1}^2) \subseteq E_{1,1}^2 = 0,$$

and

$$E_{0,2}^\infty = \text{Ker}(d_{0,2}^2) = E_{0,2}^2 = H^0(K, H^2(\overline{X}, \mu_n)).$$

Therefore there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2(K, \mu_n) \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mu_n) \xrightarrow{\phi_{X,n}} H^0(K, H^2(\overline{X}, \mu_n)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now let $x, y \in X(K)$ be such that $x \sim y$. First note that $\iota_X^{\flat}(x) \sim_1 \iota_X^{\flat}(y)$ since $\pi_1(\overline{X}) = \{1\}$. Also note that by Theorem 4.2 it will be enough to show that $\delta_1^X(\iota_X^{\flat}(x), \iota_X^{\flat}(y)) = 0$ since the cohomological dimension of K is two, so in this case the obstruction classes $\delta_n^X(\iota_X^{\flat}(x), \iota_X^{\flat}(y))$ will be zero for every $n \geq 2$, too. Hence by Lemma 5.3 it will be sufficient to show that

$$\{\delta_1^X(\iota_X^{\flat}(x), \iota_X^{\flat}(y))_n, d\}_n = 0 \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall d \in H^0(K, H^2(\overline{X}, \mu_n))).$$

Since by the above the map $\phi_{X,n}$ is surjective, the theorem now follows from Lemmas 3.7 and 5.4. $\square$

6. GEOMETRICALLY RATIONAL SURFACES

Lemma 6.1. *Let X be a smooth, projective, geometrically rational surface defined over a number field K . Then the group $\text{III}^2(K, \pi_2(\overline{X}))$ is finite.*

Proof. Because the étale fundamental group is a birational invariant we have $\pi_1(\overline{X}) = \pi_1(\mathbb{P}_K^2) = \{1\}$. Hence

$$\pi_2(\overline{X}) = H_2(\overline{X}, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) = \text{Hom}(H^2(\overline{X}, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}), \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) = \text{Hom}(\text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1))$$

by Corollary 6.2 of [1] on page 70 and the fact that for a geometrically rational surface X the étale Chern class map $c_1 : \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow H^2(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Z}(1))$ is an isomorphism. Hence by part (a) of Theorem 4.10 of [19] on page 70 we have $\text{III}^2(K, \pi_2(\overline{X})) \cong \text{III}^1(K, \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$. There is a finite Galois extension $L|K$ such that the action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{L}|L)$ on $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})$ is trivial. Hence $\text{III}^1(L, \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) = 0$ by the Chebotarev density theorem (see the proof of Lemma 4.7). Because the image of $\text{III}^1(K, \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ lies in $\text{III}^1(L, \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ with respect to the restriction map:

$$H^1(K, \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow H^1(L, \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}),$$

the group $\text{III}^1(K, \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ lies in the image of the inflation map:

$$H^1(\text{Gal}(L|K), \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow H^1(K, \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}).$$

Since the group $H^1(\text{Gal}(L|K), \text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ is finite the claim is now clear. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.10. Because for every archimedean $v \in |K|$ the topological space $X_v(K_v)$ has only finitely many connected components the set $X_v(K_v)/H$ is finite for such v . Since $\pi_1(\overline{X}) = \{1\}$ we get that Brauer equivalence and H -equivalence coincide on $X_v(K_v)$ for every non-archimedean $v \in |K|$ by Theorem 1.8. Hence by Corollary A.2 of [2] on page 55 the set $X_v(K_v)/H$ is finite for every $v \in |K|$. Moreover $X_v(K_v)/H$ has at most one element when v is non-archimedean

and X has good reduction at v by Corollary A.3 of [2] on page 55. Hence we may conclude that the set $\prod_{v \in |K|} X_v(K_v)/H$ is finite. So we only need to show that the map:

$$\prod_{v \in |K|} r_{v*} : \text{Sel}^{\natural}(X) \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in |K|} X_v(K_v)/H$$

is finite to one. Let $s \in \text{Sel}^{\natural}(X)$ and let S be the pre-image of $\prod_{v \in |K|} r_{v*}(s)$ with respect to the map $\prod_{v \in |K|} r_{v*}$. By Corollary 4.3 it will be enough to show that the relation $\sim_2$ has only finitely many equivalence classes in S . For every $x, y \in S$ at least we have $x \sim_1 y$ since $\pi_1(\overline{X}) = \{1\}$ so $|\text{Sec}(X)| = 1$ by Theorem 2.6. Moreover $\delta_1^X(x, y) \in \text{III}^2(K, \pi_2(\overline{X}))$ by the naturality of obstruction classes. But

$$\delta_1^X(x, y) = \delta_1^X(x, s) - \delta_1^X(y, s)$$

so the claim follows from Lemma 6.1 and the pigeonhole principle. $\square$

Definition 6.2. We say that a smooth geometrically irreducible projective surface X defined over a field K of characteristic zero is a Châtelet surface if there is an $a \in K^*$ and a separable polynomial $P \in K[x]$ of degree 4 such that X is a smooth compactification of the affine surface given by the equation:

$$y^2 - az^2 = P(x)$$

over K .

Proposition 6.3. *Let X be a Châtelet surface defined over a number field K . Then for every $s \in \text{Sel}^{\natural}(X)$ there is an $x \in X(K)$ such that $\iota_{X_v}^{\natural}(i_v(x)) = r_{v*}(s)$ for every $v \in |K|$.*

Proof. Let S be a finite subset of $|K|$ which contains every archimedean place of K and every non-archimedean place of K where X does not have good reduction. For every $v \in |K|$ choose an $x_v \in X_v(K_v)$ such that $\iota_{X_v}^{\natural}(x_v) = r_{v*}(s)$. By part (b) of Theorem 8.6 of [7] on page 86 for every $v \in |K|$ there is an open neighbourhood $U_v \subseteq X_v(K_v)$ of x_v contained by the R -equivalence class of x_v in $X_v(K_v)$. Because $\prod_{v \in |K|} x_v \in X(\mathbb{A}_K)^{\text{Br}}$ by Proposition 3.11, there is an $x \in X(K)$ such that $i_v(x) \in U_v$ for every $v \in S$ by part (c) of Theorem 8.11 of [7] on page 92. Clearly $\iota_{X_v}^{\natural}(i_v(x)) = r_{v*}(s)$ for every $v \in S$. Because for every $v \in |K| - S$ the set $X(K)/R$ consists of one element by part (c) of Theorem 8.6 of [7] on page 86 we get that $\iota_{X_v}^{\natural}(i_v(x)) = r_{v*}(s)$ for every $v \in |K| - S$ as well. $\square$

Notation 6.4. For every torus S defined over a field K of characteristic zero let $C(S)$ denote the $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)$ -module of its cocharacters and let $\delta : H^1(K, S) \rightarrow H^2(K, C(S) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1))$ be the projective limit of the coboundary maps $\delta_n : H^1(K, S) \rightarrow H^2(K, S[n])$ furnished by the corresponding Kummer exact sequences where $S[n]$ denotes the n -torsion subgroup scheme of S . Note that δ maps $\text{III}^1(K, S)$ into $\text{III}^2(K, C(S) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1))$ when K is a number field. Let $\delta_0 : \text{III}^1(K, S) \rightarrow \text{III}^2(K, C(S) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1))$ be the restriction of δ onto $\text{III}^1(K, S)$.

Lemma 6.5. *Let S be a torus defined over a field K of characteristic zero. Then the following hold:*

- (i) *map $\delta : H^1(K, S) \rightarrow H^2(K, C(S) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ is injective,*
- (ii) *map $\delta : H^1(K, S) \rightarrow H^2(K, C(S) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ is bijective when K is a number field,*
- (iii) *the map $\delta_0 : \text{III}^1(K, S) \rightarrow \text{III}^2(K, C(S) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ is bijective when K is a number field.*

Proof. First note that for every field K of characteristic zero and for every torus S defined over K the cohomology group $H^1(K, S)$ has finite exponent. In fact there is a finite Galois extension $L|K$ such that the action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{L}|L)$ on $C(S)$ is trivial. Hence $H^1(L, S) = 0$ by Hilbert's theorem 90. Therefore $H^1(K, S)$ is the image of $H^1(\text{Gal}(L|K), S)$ under the inflation map. But the group $H^1(\text{Gal}(L|K), S)$ is annihilated by the order of $\text{Gal}(L|K)$ so the claim is now clear. As a consequence we get that the map δ is injective for every K as above. Now we prove that δ is also surjective when K is a number field. By Poitou-Tate duality it will be sufficient to show that the dual map $\varinjlim H^1(K, M/nM) \rightarrow H^2(K, M)$ is injective where M is the $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)$ -module of characters of S . The kernel of this map is the inductive limit of $H^1(K, M)/nH^1(K, M)$, that is the group $H^1(K, M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ which is zero because $H^1(K, M)$ is finite. Note that every element in the pre-image of $\text{III}^2(K, C(S) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ with respect to δ lies in $\text{III}^1(K, S)$ because the map $\delta : H^1(K_v, S) \rightarrow H^2(K_v, C(S) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ is injective for every $v \in |K|$ by the above. Hence δ_0 is a bijection by part (ii). $\square$

Definition 6.6. For every smooth projective geometrically irreducible variety X defined over a field X let $CH_0(X)$ denote the Chow group of zero dimensional cycles on X and let $A_0(X)$ denote the kernel of the degree map $\deg : CH_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Fix a point $x \in X(R)$. Then there is a map $\Psi_x : X(K)/R \rightarrow A_0(X)$ which for every $y \in X(K)$ maps the R -equivalence class of y to $[y] - [x]$. When K is a number field let $\text{III}^1 A_0(X)$ denote the subgroup of those elements c of $A_0(X)$ such that the base change of c to K_v is the zero element of $A_0(X_v)$. Now let X be a Châtelet surface, let S be a torus over K whose group of characters is isomorphic to $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})$ as a $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)$ -module and let $\mathcal{T}$ be a universal torsor over X . Then we have a map:

$$\rho_{\mathcal{T}} : X(K) \longrightarrow H^1(K, S)$$

which associates to every $P \in X(K)$ the class of the fibre of $\mathcal{T}$ at P . Moreover there is a unique homomorphism $\Phi_{\mathcal{T}} : A_0(X) \rightarrow H^1(K, S)$ such that for every $y \in X(K)$ we have $\Phi_{\mathcal{T}}([y] - [x]) = \rho_{\mathcal{T}}(y) - \rho_{\mathcal{T}}(x)$ (see page 88 of [7]). In particular the map $\rho_{\mathcal{T}}$ factors through R -equivalence; let $\rho_{\mathcal{T}, R} : X(K)/R \rightarrow H^1(K, S)$ be the map which sends the R -equivalence class of every $y \in X(K)$ to $\rho_{\mathcal{T}}(y)$. When K is a number field $\Phi_{\mathcal{T}}$ maps $\text{III}^1 A_0(X)$ into $\text{III}^1(K, S)$. Let $\Phi_0 : \text{III}^1 A_0(X) \rightarrow \text{III}^1(K, S)$ be the restriction of $\Phi_{\mathcal{T}}$ onto $\text{III}^1 A_0(X)$.

Theorem 6.7. *Let X be a Châtelet surface, let $\mathcal{T}$ be a universal torsor over X and let $x \in X(K)$. Then the following hold:*

- (i) *the map $\rho_{\mathcal{T}, R}$ is an injection,*
- (ii) *the map Ψ_x is a bijection,*
- (iii) *when K is a number field the map Φ_0 is a bijection.*

Proof. The map $\rho_{\mathcal{T},R}$ is injective by part (a) of Theorem 8.5 of [7] on page 86. Claim (ii) is true by Theorem 8.8 of [7] on page 89 while claim (iii) holds by Theorem 8.10 of [7] on page 91. $\square$

Lemma 6.8. *Let X be a Châtelet surface and let $\pi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ be a universal torsor over X . Then we have $\pi_1(\overline{\mathcal{T}}) = \{1\}$ and $\pi_2(\overline{\mathcal{T}}) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. As above let S be a torus over K whose group of characters is isomorphic to $\text{Pic}(\overline{X})$ as a $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)$ -module. By Corollary 12.13 of [1] on page 144 we know that $\overline{S}_{et}$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to the étale homotopy type of a torus over $\mathbb{C}$ which has the same dimension as $\overline{S}$. Because finitely generated abelian groups are good we get from Proposition 2.9 that $\overline{S}_{et}$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to $B\pi_1(\overline{S})$. Moreover $\pi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ is a smooth map whose geometric fibres are isomorphic to $\overline{S}$ so the homotopy fibre of the map $\pi_* : \mathcal{T}_{et}^{\natural} \rightarrow X_{et}^{\natural}$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to $\overline{S}_{et}$. Since $\overline{X}$ is a rational surface we also have $\pi_1(\overline{X}) = \{1\}$ so the homotopy exact sequence of the fibration π furnishes the exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_2(\overline{\mathcal{T}}) \longrightarrow \pi_2(\overline{X}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_1(\overline{S}) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\overline{\mathcal{T}}) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Hence it will be sufficient to prove that ∂ is an isomorphism. Because $\overline{X}$ is a rational surface we get that

$$(6.8.1) \quad \pi_2(\overline{X}) = \text{Hom}(\text{Pic}(\overline{X}) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)) = \pi_1(\overline{S})$$

as we already noted in the proof of Lemma 6.1. Because every surjective homomorphism between two free $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ -modules of the same rank is a bijection it will be sufficient to prove that ∂ is surjective. Assume that this is not the case and let $B \subset \text{Pic}(\overline{X})$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis consisting of isomorphism classes of very ample line bundles. Then there is a $b \in B$ such that the image of $\partial(\pi_2(\overline{X}))$ is not $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)$ under the homomorphism $b' : \pi_1(\overline{S}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)$ corresponding to $b \otimes 1$ by duality via the identification of (6.8.1) by our assumption. Let $b'' : \overline{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^n$ be an embedding such that the isomorphism class of the pull-back of the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}_K^n$ with respect to b'' is b . Then there is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\mathcal{T}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{B}_K^{n+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \overline{X} & \xrightarrow{b''} & \mathbb{P}_K^n \end{array}$$

which induces a commutative ladder:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \pi_2(\overline{\mathcal{T}}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_2(\overline{X}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \pi_1(\overline{S}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\overline{\mathcal{T}}) \\ \downarrow & & c \downarrow & & b' \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_2(\mathbb{B}_K^{n+1}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_2(\mathbb{P}_K^n) & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & \pi_1(\mathbb{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(\mathbb{B}_K^{n+1}) \end{array}$$

of long homotopy exact sequences. As we saw in the proof of Proposition 4.5 we have $\pi_2(\mathbb{B}_K^{n+1}) = \{0\}$ and $\pi_1(\mathbb{B}_K^{n+1}) = \{1\}$. Since the groups $\pi_2(\mathbb{P}_K^n)$ and $\pi_1(\mathbb{G}_m)$

are both free $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ -modules of rank one we get that the map ∂' is an isomorphism. Note however that the map c is surjective since it corresponds to $b \otimes 1$ by duality via the identification of (6.8.1). But this is a contradiction. $\square$

Let X and $\mathcal{T}$ be as above and let $s \in [\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}, X_{et}^{\natural}]$. Then we may form the fibre product $\mathcal{T}_s$ sitting in the cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T}_s & \xrightarrow{\rho_s} & \mathcal{T}_{et}^{\natural} \\ \pi_s \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_* \\ \mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et} & \xrightarrow{s} & X_{et}^{\natural}. \end{array}$$

As we already noted in the proof Lemma 6.8 the homotopy fibre of the map $\pi_* : \mathcal{T}_{et}^{\natural} \rightarrow X_{et}^{\natural}$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to $\overline{S}_{et}$. Therefore the fundamental group of $\mathcal{T}_s$ sits in a short exact sequence:

$$(6.8.2) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(\overline{S}) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{T}_s) \xrightarrow{\pi_1(\pi_s)} \pi_1(\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Let $\sigma_{\mathcal{T}}(s)$ denote the cohomology class in

$$H^2(\pi_1(\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}), \pi_1(\overline{S})) = H^2(K, C(S) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1))$$

which classifies this extension. When $s = \iota_X^{\natural}(x)$ for some $x \in X(K)$ then $\mathcal{T}_s$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to $\pi^{-1}(x)_{et}$ where $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is the fibre of $\mathcal{T}$ over x . Therefore the short exact sequence (6.8.2) can be identified with Grothendieck's short exact sequence for the variety $\pi^{-1}(x)$:

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(\overline{\pi^{-1}(x)}) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\pi^{-1}(x)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K) \longrightarrow 1.$$

As an immediate consequence we get the following claim:

Lemma 6.9. *For every $x \in X(K)$ we have $\sigma_{\mathcal{T}}(\iota_X^{\natural}(x)) = \delta(\rho_{\mathcal{T}}(x))$. $\square$*

Proof of Theorem 1.9. The set $X(K)/R$ is finite by part (a) of Theorem 8.6 of [7] on page 87. (Note however that this claim also follows from the second claim and Theorem 1.10.) In order to prove the second claim it will be sufficient to show that the map:

$$\iota_{X,R}^{\natural} : X(K)/R \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sel}^{\natural}(X)$$

is a bijection. By Lemma 6.9 for every $x \in X(K)/R$ we have $\sigma_{\mathcal{T}}(\iota_{X,R}^{\natural}(x)) = \delta(\rho_{\mathcal{T},R}(x))$. Since the maps $\rho_{\mathcal{T},R}$ and δ are injective by part (iii) of Theorem 6.7 and by part (i) of Lemma 6.5, respectively, we get that the map $\iota_{X,R}^{\natural}$ is also injective. Now we prove that it is surjective, too. Let $s \in \mathrm{Sel}^{\natural}(X)$. By Proposition 6.3 there is an $x \in X(K)$ such that $\iota_{X_v}^{\natural}(i_v(x)) = r_{v*}(s)$ for every $v \in |K|$. Then

$$j_{v*}(\sigma_{\mathcal{T}}(s)) = \sigma_{\mathcal{T}}(r_{v*}(s)) = \delta(\rho_{\mathcal{T}}(i_v(x))) = j_{v*}(\delta(\rho_{\mathcal{T}}(x)))$$

for every $v \in |K|$ by Lemma 6.9. Hence $\sigma_{\mathcal{T}}(s) - \delta(\rho_{\mathcal{T}}(x)) \in \mathrm{III}^2(K, C(S) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1))$. By part (iii) of Lemma 6.5 there is a $c \in \mathrm{III}^1(K, S)$ such that $\delta(c) = \sigma_{\mathcal{T}}(s) - \delta(\rho_{\mathcal{T}}(x))$.

Therefore by parts (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 6.7 there is a $y \in X(K)$ such that $\Phi_{\mathcal{T}}([y] - [x]) = c$ and so $\delta(\rho_{\mathcal{T}}(y)) = \sigma_{\mathcal{T}}(s)$. In particular we have

$$\rho_{\mathcal{T}}(i_v(y)) = j_{v*}(\rho_{\mathcal{T}}(y)) = j_{v*}(\rho_{\mathcal{T}}(x)) = \rho_{\mathcal{T}}(i_v(x))$$

for every $v \in |K|$ by part (i) of Lemma 6.5. Therefore we get that $i_v(y)$ and $i_v(x)$ are R -equivalent for every such v by part (i) of Theorem 6.7. Hence for every v as above we get $\iota_{X_v}^{\natural}(i_v(y)) = \iota_{X_v}^{\natural}(i_v(x)) = r_{v*}(s)$ by Theorem 1.1. By replacing the universal torsor $\pi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ by its twist with respect to $\rho_{\mathcal{T}}(y) \in H^1(K, S)$ we may assume without the loss of generality that $\rho_{\mathcal{T}}(y)$ is actually zero. In this case the fibre of $\mathcal{T}$ over y is a trivial S -torsor so there is a $y' \in \mathcal{T}(K)$ which maps to y under π . Because $\sigma_{\mathcal{T}}(s) = \delta(\rho_{\mathcal{T}}(y)) = 0$ the short exact sequence (6.8.2) splits. The homotopy fibre of the map π_s is weakly homotopy equivalent to $\overline{S}_{et}$. As we already noted in the proof Lemma 6.8 above $\overline{S}_{et}$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to $B\pi_1(\overline{S})$. Hence the existence of a section for (6.8.2) implies that there is a section $s' \in [\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}, \mathcal{T}_s^{\natural}]$. Let $s'' \in [\mathrm{Spec}(K)_{et}, \mathcal{T}_{et}^{\natural}]$ be the composition of s' and $\rho_s^{\natural}$. Clearly s'' maps to s under the map induced by the morphism $\pi_* : \mathcal{T}_{et}^{\natural} \rightarrow X_{et}^{\natural}$ in $\mathrm{pro}\text{-}\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}|K)}$. By Lemma 6.8 we have $\pi_1(\overline{\mathcal{T}}) = \{1\}$ and $\pi_2(\overline{\mathcal{T}}) = \{0\}$ so Theorem 4.2 implies that $\iota_{\mathcal{T}}^{\natural}(y') \sim_2 s''$. By the naturality of obstruction classes we get that $\iota_X^{\natural}(y) \sim_2 s$ hence by Corollary 4.3 we get that $\iota_X^{\natural}(y) = s$. $\square$

REFERENCES

1. M. Artin and B. Mazur, *Étale homotopy*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 100, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1969.
2. S. Bloch, *On the Chow groups of certain rational surfaces*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **14** (1981), 41–59.
3. A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions, and localizations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 304, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1972.
4. C. Breuil, B. Conrad, F. Diamond and R. Taylor, *On the modularity of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$* , J.A.M.S. **14** (2001), 843–939.
5. G. Carlsson, *Equivariant stable homotopy and Sullivan’s conjecture*, Invent. Math. **103** (1991), 497–525.
6. J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc and P. Swinnerton-Dyer, *Intersections of two quadrics and Châtelet surfaces, I*, Journal für die reine und angew. Math. **373** (1987), 37–107.
7. J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, J.-J. Sansuc and P. Swinnerton-Dyer, *Intersections of two quadrics and Châtelet surfaces, II*, Journal für die reine und angew. Math. **374** (1987), 72–168.
8. D.-A. Cox, *The étale homotopy type of varieties over $\mathbb{R}$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1979), 17–22.
9. C. Demarche, *Obstruction de descente et obstruction de Brauer–Manin étale*, Algebra & Number Theory **3** (2009), 237–254.
10. G. Faltings, *Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern*, Invent. Math. **73** (1983), 349–366.
11. E. M. Friedlander, *Étale homotopy of simplicial schemes*, Annals of Mathematical Studies, vol. 104, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1982.
12. O. Gabber, *Some theorems on Azumaya algebras*, The Brauer group (Sem., Les Plans-sur-Bex, 1980), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 844, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1981, pp. 129–209.
13. A. Grothendieck, *Le groupe de Brauer, I, II, III*, Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968, pp. 46–188.
14. A. Grothendieck, *Brief an G. Faltings*, Geometric Galois Actions, 1, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 242, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997, pp. 49–58.
15. S. Illman, *Smooth equivariant triangulations of G -manifolds for G a finite group*, Math. Ann. **233** (1978), 199–220.

16. V. A. Kolyvagin, *Finiteness of $E(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\text{III}(E, \mathbb{Q})$ for a subclass of Weil curves*, [translation], Math. USSR Izv. **32** (1989), 523–541.
17. Yu. I. Manin, *Cubic forms: algebra, geometry, arithmetic*, English transl., 2nd edition, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.
18. J. S. Milne, *Étale cohomology*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 33, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1980.
19. J. S. Milne, *Arithmetic duality theorems*, Perspectives in Mathematics, vol. 1, Academic Press, Boston, 1986.
20. S. Mochizuki, *Topics surrounding the anabelian geometry of hyperbolic curves*, Galois groups and fundamental groups (Schneps, Leila (ed.)), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
21. A. Pál, *The real section conjecture and Smith’s fixed point theorem for pro-spaces*, submitted (2009).
22. J.-P. Serre, *Cohomologie galoisienne*, fifth edition, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1997.
23. A. Skorobogatov, *Torsors and rational points*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 144, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
24. A. Skorobogatov, *Descent obstruction is equivalent to étale Brauer–Manin obstruction*, Math. Ann. **109** (2009), 501–510.
25. J. Stix, *The Brauer–Manin obstruction for sections of the fundamental group*, arXiv:0910.5009 (2009).
26. A. Tamagawa, *The Grothendieck conjecture for affine curves*, Compositio Math. **109** (1997), 135–194.
27. B. Toën, *Homotopy types of algebraic varieties*, preprint (2004).
28. G. Quick, *Profinite homotopy theory*, Doc. Math. **13** (2008), 585–612.
29. G. Quick, *Continuous group actions on profinite spaces*, arXiv:0906.0245 (2009).
30. K. Wickelgren, *Lower central series obstructions to homotopy sections of curves over number fields*, preprint (2009).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 180 QUEEN’S GATE, IMPERIAL COLLEGE, LONDON SW7 2AZ, UNITED KINGDOM

E-mail address: a.pal@imperial.ac.uk